m2.2668.21-wp.docx

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

## WRIT PETITION NO.2668 OF 2021

Sharad Maruti Londhe

..... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

Mr. R. K. Mendadkar for the Petitioner Mr. B. V. Samant, AGP for the State

CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA, ACJ &

SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.

DATED: APRIL 10, 2023

P.C.

Mentioned. Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.

- 1. Motion is made for speaking to the minutes in respect of order dated 5<sup>th</sup> April 2023.
- 2. In paragraph No.9 we had directed reinstatement of the Petitioner.
- 3. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that, in fact, this Court had dictated three weeks. Instead, the same is typed as three months.

Basavraj 1/2

m2.2668.21-wp.docx

- 4. The learned AGP is present and does not dispute the said fact.
- 5. In light of that, in last line of paragraph 9 of the order dated 5<sup>th</sup> April 2023, same be read as **three weeks**.
- 6. Necessary corrections be carried out accordingly.

(SANDEEP V. MARNE, J.) (ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

Basavraj 2/2