



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8894 OF 2023

NETAJI MANIKRAO CHOUDHARY

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 8932 OF 2023

PRACHI NETAJI CHOUDHARY AND ANOTHER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute

AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. A.S. Shinde

Advocate for Respondent No.3 in Writ Petition No.8894/2023 : Mr. PP. Dama

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

**DATE : 31 JULY 2023.**

**PER COURT :**

. Not on Board. Taken on Board.

1. The motion is made for speaking to the minutes since by the order dated 26.07.2023, we had dismissed the writ petitions. However a request then made for staying operation of the order, does not seem to have been reflected in the order passed by us dismissing the writ petitions.

2. Heard. It is pointed out that on 25.07.2023, we had granted interim relief not to take adverse steps only by resorting to the order of invalidation. The learned Advocate for the petitioner in writ petition no.8894/2023 submits that he is in the employment of the Zilla Parishad and the protection be continued for a while to enable him to approach the Supreme Court.

3. The learned Advocate for the respondent no.3/Zilla Parishad is also present and admits the happenings on the date of pronouncement of the order.

4. Since already there was an interim relief, which was in operation by virtue of the order dated 25.07.2023, in our considered view, the protection should continue so that an opportunity is extended to put up a challenge to our order dated 26.07.2023, which we had erroneously omitted to consider.

5. In the light of above, the request is accepted. The interim protection granted by the order dated 25.07.2023 to continue for a period of four weeks from the date of pronouncement of the order.

6. Motion is disposed of.

[ SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.]

[ MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

*Najeeb...*