



## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

## CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2706 OF 2018 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2707 OF 2018 IN WRIT PETITION NO. 821 OF 2018

## **AND**

## WRIT PETITION NO. 821 OF 2018

Pranoti Madhukar Dadmal
-Vs.The Scheduled Tribe Caste Certificate Scrutiny Committee, Gadchiroli and ors.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.

Ms. P.D. Rane, counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. S.P. Deshpande, AGP for respondent nos.1 and 2

Mr. K.S. Malokar, counsel for respondent no.3.

**CORAM**: B.P. DHARMADHIKARI &

S.M.MODAK, JJ.

**DATE** : 23.10.2018.

- 1. With consent we have heard the matter finally. It is not in dispute that controversy is covered by judgment dated 30<sup>th</sup> August 2018 in Writ Petition No. 5171 of 2018 and other connected matters. Identical orders passed by very same committee are quash and set aside by us in that matter.
- 2. Additionally, here brother of petitioner namely, Pankaj Dadmal has been given validity vide judgment dated

Kavita



 $30^{th}$  November 2017 in Writ Petition No. 3532 of 2013. Hence, for the reasons recorded in judgment dated  $30^{th}$  November 2017 and  $30^{th}$  August 2018, we quash and set aside the order dated  $31^{st}$  May 2013.

- 3. Here, we find that earlier writ petition No. 6111 of 2013 filed by petitioner only was disposed of on 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2017 as petitioner could not then gave instructions to her counsel. Counsel for petitioner submits that petitioner had not givenup caste claim and opted for only protection at any point of time.
- 4. We, in this situation set aside the order dated 31<sup>st</sup> May 2013 and direct the Scrutiny Committee to give validity to petitioner as Mana Scheduled Tribe.
- 5. Because of these directions, Civil Applications are also disposed of.

JUDGE JUDGE