

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO.4966/2018

Yashwant s/o Gajanan Nanaware

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary, Tribal Development Department, Mantralaya
Mumbai-32 and others.

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri P.P.Dhok, Advocate for petitioner.

Mrs. Kalyani Deshpande, AGP for respondent nos.1, 2 and 6.

Shri S.D.Zoting, Advocate for respondent nos. 3, 4 and 5.

**CORAM : B.P.DHARMADHIKARI and
S.M.MODAK, JJ.**

DATE : 15.10.2018

Heard Shri Dhok, learned Advocate for petitioner, Mrs.
Deshpande, learned AGP for respondent nos.1, 2 and 6 and Shri S.D.Zoting,
learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 to 5.

2. After hearing respective counsel, it is not in dispute that
controversy is covered by judgment delivered in Writ Petition No.5171/2018
and other connected matters on 30th August, 2018.

3. All old documents recording caste as Mana consistently are not
found to be interpolated. Only reason for invalidating caste claim is general
observation about "Mani", "Mane", "Mani Kunbi", etc. which has been dealt
with by us already.

4. We therefore quash and set aside order dated 14th June, 2018
and direct Scrutiny Committee to grant petitioner validity as "Mana"

WP4966.18

2/2

Scheduled Tribe.

5. Rule made absolute accordingly. No costs.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Andurkar